Central
Bedfordshire
Council
Priory House
Monks Walk
Chicksands,
Shefford SG17 5TQ



## TO ALL MEMBERS OF THE SUSTAINABLE COMMUNITIES OVERVIEW & SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

27 August 2009

**Dear Councillor** 

## SUSTAINABLE COMMUNITIES OVERVIEW & SCRUTINY COMMITTEE - TUESDAY, 1 SEPTEMBER 2009

Further to the Agenda and papers for the above meeting I attach an addendum to the following report:-

9. Gypsy and Traveller Development Plan Document for the Former Mid Bedfordshire Area - Site Selection Criteria

To consider the proposed criteria for the assessment of Gypsy and Traveller sites in order to meet the pitch requirement for the former Mid Bedfordshire District Council area.

Should you have any queries regarding the above please contact me on 0300 300 5132.

Yours sincerely

Democratic Services Officer email: leslie.manning@centralbedfordshire.gov.uk



## Addendum

Following further consideration of the criteria, Officers are recommending the addition of the following criteria for the short listing of sites for Gypsy and Traveller accommodation:

- Avoidance of areas of high quality agricultural land;
- Avoidance of sites with prohibitive incline;
- Consideration of potential impact on Green Infrastructure; and
- Avoidance of areas of protected wildlife species, or where appropriate the assessment of the impact on protected species and the adoption of appropriate mitigation.

Members are asked to approve these additional criteria and the proposal to apply the amended scoring system (attached to this addendum) to establish the appropriateness of each site.

In summary, the following provisional criteria are suggested for assessing sites:

- 1. First consider locations in or near existing settlements with access to local services
- 2. Sites may be found in rural or semi rural areas. Rural areas which are not subject to special planning constraint can be acceptable in principle.
- 3. Avoid the loss of high quality agricultural land.
- 4. Sites with limited incline.
- 5. Access to major roads or public transport services
- 6. Safe access from the public highway
- 7. Access to health services
- 8. Access to school, further education or training
- 9. Access to community facilities
- 10. Avoid areas of high risk flooding or the floodplain
- 11. Provide visual and acoustic privacy and maintain visual amenity
- 12. Avoid contaminated land
- 13. Locate sites in safe environments, avoiding refuse sites, industrial processes or other hazardous places

- 14. Sites Outside the Green Belt
- 15. Consideration of potential impact on landscape and nature designations, including Green Infrastructure
- 16. Sites located in areas of protected wildlife should be avoided or where appropriate assessed by wildlife survey
- 17. Consideration of potential impact on areas of archaeological significance
- 18. Serviceable for Gas/ Electricity/ Sewerage
- 19. Provision of Waste and Recycling Facilities

## Appendix A – Assessment of Sites using Agreed Site Criteria Site Name:

CRITERIA (SCORE)	COMMENT	SCORE
Sequential Approach		
1 <sup>st</sup> - In or on the edge of settlements.		
(20)		
2 <sup>nd</sup> - In areas where Gypsies and		
Travellers already have authorised		
sites, and where extension of those sites		
is subservient in scale and would not		
lead to problems of assimilation (15) 3 <sup>rd</sup> - In more rural locations where those		
sites are free from environmental and		
planning constraints and are within		
reasonable distance of local facilities.(10)		
Agricultural Land Classification?		
Located on Grade 3 Land (0)		
Located on Grade 2 Land (-5)		
Located on Grade 1 Land (-10)		
Incline of Site?		
No Slope (0)		
Shallow Slope (-5)		
Steep Slope (-10)		
Safe access from site directly to the		
Highway?		
Yes, no remedial work necessary (10)		
Maybe, some remedial work necessary		
(0)		
No, remedial work too extensive (-10)		
Access to Major Roads		
Good, Within 0.5 - 1 mile (5)		
Fair, Within 1 - 2 miles (3)		
Poor, Within 2 -3 miles (1)		
No Score, Over 3 miles (0)		
Access to Public Transport		
Good, Within 5 minutes walk / 0.25 mile		
(5)		
Fair, Within 10 minutes walk / 0.5 mile (3)		
Poor, Within 20 minutes walk / 1 mile (1)		
Access to GP		
Good, Within 10 minutes walk (5)		
Fair, Within 20 minutes walk (3)		
Poor, Within 30 minutes walk (1) Anything above 30 minutes (0)		
/ mything above so minutes (o)		
Access to Schools - Lower School		
Good, Within 10 minutes walk (5)		

CRITERIA (SCORE)	COMMENT	SCORE
Fair, Within 20 minutes walk (3)		
Poor, Within 30 minutes walk (1)		
Anything above 30 minutes (0		
Access to Schools - Middle School		
Good, Within 10 minutes walk (5)		
Fair, Within 20 minutes walk (3)		
Poor, Within 30 minutes walk (1)		
Anything above 30 minutes (0		
Access to Schools - Upper School		
Good, Within 10 minutes walk (5)		
Fair, Within 20 minutes walk (3)		
Poor, Within 30 minutes walk (1)		
Anything above 30 minutes (0		
Access to Community Facilities		
Good, Within 10 minutes walk (5)		
Fair, Within 20 minutes walk (3)		
Poor, Within 30 minutes walk (1)		
Anything above 30 minutes (0		
Is the site vulnerable to flooding?		
None (10)		
Zones 1 (0) Zones 2 (-10)		
Zones 3 (-10)		
20163 3 ( 20)		
Is the site located in an area of		
landscape designation?		
Yes and unable to mitigate (-10)		
Yes, mitigation necessary (0)		
Adjacent to (0)		
No (10)		
Is the site located in an area of nature		
designation?		
Yes and unable to mitigate (-10)		
Yes, mitigation necessary (0)		
Adjacent to (0)		
No (10)		
Is the site located in an area of Green		
Infrastructure?		
Yes and unable to mitigate (-10)		
Yes, mitigation necessary (0)		
Adjacent to (0)		
No (10)		

CRITERIA (SCORE)	COMMENT	SCORE
Wildlife Species Protection?		
Yes and unable to mitigate (-20)		
Yes, wildlife survey necessary (-10)		
No (0)		
110 (0)		
Is the site located within the Green		
Belt?		
Yes (-20)		
Adjacent to (0)		
No (10)		
, ,		
Potential for Noise Disturbance		
Caused by transport related sources		
(In accordance with PPG24)		
Low (5)		
Moderate & Could be Mitigated (3)		
High & Worth Investigating (1)		
Potential for Disturbance Caused by		
Vehicle Movement?		
Low (5)		
Moderate (3)		
High (1)		
Opportunities for Soft Landscaping?		
Opportunities for Soft Landscaping?		
Yes (5)		
No (0)		
Site Located on Contaminated Land?		
No (0)		
Yes (-10)		
Site located near un-neighbourly use?		
No (5)		
Yes, within 0.25 mile (3)		
Yes, adjacent to 0.5 miles (1)		
No score, site next door to un-		
neighbourly use (0)		
Is the site in an area of archaeological		
significance?		
Yes, detrimental impact (-10)		
Yes, little impact (-5)		
No (0)		
le the cite complessable by passagement		
Is the site serviceable by necessary		
infrastructure (gas,		
electricity/sewerage)? Yes, all (20)		
Yes, some (10)		
None (-10)		
140110 (-10)		

CRITERIA (SCORE)	COMMENT	SCORE
Can waste and recycling collection be provided? Yes (5) No (0)		
Overall Score? (Max Score = 160)		